



Steering Committee Meeting Report

Special Meeting regarding Al Ritt Field Team Building

Date: July 30, 2009
Project: 2008 Dexter Community Schools Bond, Phase 1 & 2 Projects
Owner: Dexter Community Schools
A/E#: 2466.39 PF **CM Project#:** 08222-00
Location: Copeland Administration Bldg. **Time:** 4:00 p.m.

Attendees:	Representing:
Larry Cobler	DCS/Board President
Ron Darr	DCS/Board Trustee
Rob Glass	DCS/Superintendent
Mary Marshall	DCS/Ass't. Superintendent
Rick Bibik	Granger Construction
Greg Brand	Granger Construction
Gabe Alvey*	Kingscott Associates
Bob McGraw*	Kingscott Associates

*Via conference call

Meeting Purpose: The meeting was called to discuss our options for moving forward with the team building at Al Ritt Field after the variance was denied by the state.

Discussion: *The following represents our understanding of the information presented and decisions accepted during the above dated meeting. All additions, corrections and clarifications are to be submitted to Granger within five (5) days of receipt.*

1. Kingscott had provided two options for increasing the women's toilet fixture count by four (4), the first being to increase the size of the new team building to accommodate the extra fixtures, the second to renovate the existing storage building to provide the fixtures there. Granger had received pricing from Phoenix Contractors shortly before the meeting, and presented the following:

Option 1: \$40,070.55 *

Option 2: \$53,030.88 *

* These prices are the corrected calculations, the printed spreadsheet viewed at the meeting had incorrect total calculations. This was discussed during the meeting.

The District stated that price was the main concern. We discussed what could be taken out of the buildings as far as alternates were concerned, as well as the accuracy of the quotes. Granger will review the quotes with the contractor to ensure the district is receiving a fair price.

At this point Kingscott was brought in on speaker phone to discuss the options and what could be taken out. Kingscott did not believe we had purchased the lockers in the original contract (post-

meeting note, they were correct), but agreed that several of the original alternates could be removed.

The Committee decided that the additional fixtures should be added per option 1; Granger was tasked with bringing in the total price (any corrections to the quote for option 1 as well as removal of previously purchased alternates) to \$5,000 or less. If the \$5,000 goal could not be met, then we would need to discuss further what could be done.

2. After this discussion Granger showed the committee a quote from the asphalt paving contractor at DHS for additional repair work not shown on the drawings but noted in a walkthrough with Dave LaFerre, Granger, and the contractor. This work was tabled due to concerns about the amount of money remaining for further projects. Granger noted we will be bidding a district-wide pavement rehabilitation package for the remaining buildings after some of the decisions for transportation have been made, and we can revisit the issue at that time.

Respectfully submitted,
GRANGER CONSTRUCTION



Gregory Brand, LEED AP
Project Engineer